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MR. THAM:
Good morning. If everyone will please silence their cell phones. Call to order the Finance Committee Meeting of the Louisiana Economic Development Corporation.

Can we get a rollcall, please, for the
Finance Committee?
MS. SORRELL:
Susan Tham.
MS. THAM:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Anne Villa.
MS. VILLA:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Alden Andre.
MR . ANDRE :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Nitin Kamath.
(No response.)
MS. SORRELL:
We have three and a quorum.
MS. THAM:

Thank you very much.
The first item on the agenda is the presentation of LEDC's audited financial status as of the end of Fiscal Year June 30th, 2017. These are being presented by Aaron Cooper, J. Aaron Cooper, LLC.

Good morning.
MR. COOPER:
Good morning. Thank you. I assume everybody's got a copy of the audit report.

MS. THAM:
They start on Page 2 of your Financial Committee packet.

MR. REINE:
I don't have a packet.
MS. THAM:
I think we're all caught up with you,
Mr. Cooper.
MR. COOPER:
Today we're presenting the audit for the year ending June 30 , 2017. We'll go ahead and turn to the page that has my letterhead. That's the Audit Opinion. This Audit Opinion is what we call an "unqualified opinion." It is the best result of an audit that you can have.

So I'm going to quickly go over the
financial statements. If you'll turn to Page 3, we have the Statement of Net Position. We have total assets of

 a Total Net Position of just under 50-million. Of that 50-million, $6,300,000$ of that is restricted under the SSBCI federal program.

Any questions on that?
(No response.)
MR. COOPER:
Okay. Quickly to Page 4, we had Operating Revenue for the year, which basically is interest and dividends, \$236,000. Total Operating Expenses was just over $\$ 20-m i l l i o n$.

And then below, the Vendors' Compensation is about $\$ 12,400,000$. Had a transfer out of the corporation of a million dollars to the Department of Economic Development. That left us a change in Net Position of a negative \$8-million. Net position at the beginning of the year was 57,838 , and that left us, again, at the end of year of 49,716,000.

Any questions on that?
MR. REINE:
The committee, where did the million

```
dollars go?
```

MS THAM:
Excuse me?
MR. REINE:
Where did the million dollars go, the transfer?

MS. THAM:
To Economic Development.
MR. COOPER:
Isn't that a part of the SSBCI fund? I just finished two months ago, so $I$ can't remember. Is that part of the SSBCI funds?

MS. VILLA:
I'll double check to see. Probably.
MR. REINE:
I thought I ought to know, a transfer of a million dollars, where it went.

MR. COOPER:
I can get back to you. I apologize.
Off the top of my -- I can probably get back to you before the end of your meeting. I'll double check that. I think it has something to do with the whole transfer. I'm not positive. Sorry.

MS. THAM:
Any other questions?
If you could get back with us, we'd
appreciate that detail.
MR. COOPER:
Sure. Sure.
MS. THAM:
A lot of these lined items are explained pretty well in the notes if you go back to the notes, but $I$ don't seem to remember a note that dealt with that issue

Anything else you feel is important you want to emphasize in these financials?

MR. COOPER:
Well, no. I was just going to -- my next point was to point out on Page 31 that there were no audit findings as far as internal controls or compliance issues.

MS. THAM:
Great. Kudos to our staff for a clean and unqualified audit and internal control. Looks good, no significant weaknesses, no deficiencies. It's great to see that.

MR. COOPER:
Yes. That's all I have, though. Any questions? I'll get back on the transfer.

MS. THAM:
Are there any other questions?
(No response.)
MS. THAM:
Okay. As an integral part of those statements, if you look on the Statement of Net Position, you're going to see a line item for "Investments," and that's a very complicated valuation issue. And so those are done by professionals who know how to value these things. They look at them upsidedown, sideways. They look at minority discounts, they look at, you know, marketability discounts to tell us what the real value of these investments are.

And the value item is under "Noncurrent Assets" listed as "Investments, at cost net of impairments," and that valuation was done by Chaffe \& Company. And I believe there's someone here from Chaffee \& Company, Mark...

MR. KATSANIS :
Katsanis.
MS. THAM:
Katsanis. I knew that.
If you would like to come up and give us an overview of the report that ties to that carrying value of $13,872,000$.

MR. KATSANIS:
Sure.

MS. THAM:
Thank you, Mr. Cooper. We really
appreciate you coming.
And a lot of the details that he's going to be covering to that, the summary of the valuation of all of these investments, can be found on your -- if you have a Board packet and your number, according to the packet, it's Page 23 on your packet. It's at the end of the financial statements, and it says "Supplemental Information."

MR. KATSANIS:
Yes. And in the audit, I see it's labeled as Page 20.

MS. THAM:
So if you're looking at a paper copy, it's Page 20 in the financial statements.

MR. KATSANIS :
I want to, I guess, first of all, thank the LEDC staff for their assistance in the whole project. They were wonderful.

I guess just to give you an overview, we performed a valuation of 11 investment funds, three of which have SSBCI funds on the roster, just general LEDC funds, in addition to three operating companies.

And we could go through -- would it be
helpful for me to kind of go through each one of those or would you like kind of an overall...

MS. THAM:
I think an overall of how the valuation
is done. I know it's very complicated steps you go through and each one's different depending on the type of organization you're trying to value what an investment is, so an overall explanation of this and what the numbers mean.

MR. KATSANIS:
Sure. Sure.
I guess each of these in -- each of these investment companies essentially have an investment portfolio manager. Our process was to interview the portfolio manager of each of these companies, look over their financial statements and valuation policies for annual investments. We've had discussions with each of these going in line by line. We've considered the valuation of age put on their businesses invested in and considered the, I guess, term of the investment, whether they're close to being able to sell the business, looking at the liquidity --

MS. THAM:
Just to clarify, the majority of these are Venture Capital Funds that we've invested in --

MR. KATSANIS:
That's correct.
MS . THAM:
-- to pool money with others to help start up organizations.

MR. KATSANIS :
Exactly. To provide capital to businesses in Louisiana to get off the ground.

MS. THAM:
And so each one of those really represents ownership in all of those other Venture Capital items that we have?

MR. KATSANIS:
That's correct. That's correct.
MS. THAM:
So it's a complicated process. You're not trying to estimate the value of one company, but our investment in this Venture Capital Funds investment.

MR. KATSANIS :
That's correct. Right. Right. So, right, LEDC is an investor in each of these particular funds, which as a portfolio manager that picks and chooses carefully which businesses to invest in and employ that capital, and then $I$ guess as those -- each one has slightly different terms and conditions, but I
guess as that money is returned to the fund, typically the money's returned back to LEDC.

MS. THAM:
So on this schedule, it shows the cost or the initial investment that LEDC put into their portion of each of these Venture Capital companies, and then it shows a carrying value. And if $I$ understand it correctly, the carrying value is the fair -- well, it's what we paid for it minus any impairments. An impairment is, what, every year maybe you look at the valuation, and if the fair market value is lower than our cost, then we bring that carrying value down to that fair market value.

MR. KATSANIS:
To the fair market value, that's correct.

MS. THAM:
Now, if later it comes up over that amount, say we bought something, put in a million dollars and it was valued at $\$ 800,000$; okay, we've written it down to that 800,000 in our books. If next year it's worth 900,000 , we're still carrying at 800,000; right, as a conservative accounting?

MR. KATSANIS:
That's correct.

MS. THAM:
So that was the key part I think for a lot of us to see is the fair market value on these are not necessarily the same as carrying value. So, for instance, Business Resource Capital Specialty, Line 3 on
 written down to $1,560,000$. Now their estimated value is 1,580,000. Just as the rules of accounting say, to fairly present this, they still list it at the carrying value. They don't bring it back up from the original impairments.

Is that a fair way of saying the difference between those columns?

MR. KATSANIS:
That's correct. That's correct.
MS. THAM:
Okay.
MR. KATSANIS :
And then the column that lists Fair Value is essentially the market value as of fiscal yearend of June 30 th .

MS. THAM:
Right. So there have been some significant improvements in values over the cost that we've written it down to?

MR. KATSANIS :
That's correct. And, you know, some of these that were perhaps ventured out for some time were written down and have since recovered.

MS. THAM:
And, of course, we don't know what will happen in the future as one of these Venture Capitals may just take off or it can continue to lose value, but what we're carrying in our financial statement, if you look on the Position of Net Assets, it is the 13,872,000. Just so you can kind of put that number in place, that's the carrying value that it's been written down to, not necessarily fair value that they've looked at these companies and said they're worth.

MR. KATSANIS :
That's correct.
MS. THAM:
This is done as kind of a conservative accounting estimate, not --

MR. KATSANIS :
That's correct.
MS. THAM:
Okay. Then there are, what, three or four different companies that are not Venture Capital companies?

MR. KATSANIS :
That's correct. There are three operating companies, Endgame Entertainment, which is a film production company.

MS. THAM:
And so these are valued a little more traditionally.

MR. KATSANIS :
That's correct. These are valued based on the cash flows and income and other properties by the business.

The second one is, this is kind of an unusual investment, but it's Northpeak Wireless, which was a remnant of a former investment of LEDC. It was an operating company named Axion, which was sold. LEDC got the proceeds from the sale years ago, and as it spun out, it had certain patents, which I guess the other -the lead investor in that company was suing for patent infringement. I guess that case settled and there was no patent infringement.

So in this fiscal year, that was written down to zero since there was no recoverability for patents.

The third one is Personus Audio Electronics, which is a manufacturer of audio mixers and
other types of electronics for musicians and other types of PA systems. It's located here in Baton Rouge. They're continuing in business, so that was valued based on the company's also earnings and cash flows to determine a fair market value.

MS. THAM:
Does anyone have any questions?
MR. MCDONALD :
So the 13.8 is included in the lined
item for the investments?
MS. THAM:
Right. Under "Noncurrent Assets" on the first page of your financial statement, the "Statement of Net Position."

MR. MCDONALD :
And then the balance of that is entered?
MS. THAM:
The balance difference that takes you up to 18-million isn't recorded on our books anywhere, is it?

## MR. KATSANIS :

Is that correct, Aaron?
MR. COOPER:
Right. We record those at historical costs.

MS. THAM:
I'm sorry?
MR. COOPER:
The investments are recorded at
historical costs, and they're only written down for impairment. They can't be written up if the fair value increases.

MS. THAM:
Right. So if you are looking at the financial statements and those investments were listed at fair market value, correct me if I'm wrong, but instead of saying 13-million, that would say $18,274,000$. So that extra value is not on our financial statements at all?

MR. MCDONALD :
So the lined item representing
21-million, 13.8 represents the entities that were just presented, and then the balance of that -- I'm sorry. Just my first time.

MS. THAM:
No. You're looking at the financial statement. Let's see. On the financial statement, it's listed as Page 3. So you see the $13,872,281$ under Noncurrent Asset, and there are -- where are you seeing the other investment?

MR. MCDONALD :
It was under the page of the investments that they just shared.

MS. THAM:
Page 20?
MR. MCDONALD :
21. They have investment line of 21-million.

MS. THAM:
Okay. Now, this is a different report. This is called an $A F R$, and it's a standardized form, if I'm correct, that gets submitted to the State of Louisiana. And basically all state entities end up putting their financial information into this particular format, and so it's going to look a little different from the audited financial statements. But you're saying the investments on it are a higher amount?

MR. MCDONALD :
Right. Well, I just didn't know where they got the balance.

MR. COOPER:
If I may, that includes the certificates of deposit that are also on Page 3.

MR. MCDONALD:
Okay. Got it.

MS. THAM:
They have a different definition of what an investment is for the AFR, you know.

MR. COOPER:
Yeah. They combine those.
MS. THAM:
Thank you.
Does anybody else have a question concerning the Chaffe report?
(No response.)
MS. THAM:
It's very complicated. If you're interested in the detail in how they came up with this, there are, I don't know how many pages to your follow-up report, supplemental information that's part of the financial statement, one very-easy-to-look-at summary, but the methods they used, how they calculated everything is in there in the Finance Committee report, which is why it ended up being 168 pages for this Board packet.

## MR. KATSANIS:

Yeah. Sure. The details of the valuation audit are included in the Board packet. I guess if anyone has any followup questions, I'm glad to address them.

MS. THAM:
Right. I'll say that probably these valuations tend to be on the conservative side. Just, you know, they have discounts for marketability, discount for minority interests, so, you know.

MR. KATSANIS :
Correct. I guess the risks of the investment is considered in that final number.

MS. THAM:
Okay. Does anybody else have any
questions?
(No response.)
MS. THAM:
We appreciate your report and appreciate your time coming in today to present to us. Thank you. MR. KATSANIS:

Thank you. My pleasure.
MS. THAM:
Okay. And did you have an answer for our question about the transfer to LEDC and what that was for?

MR. COOPER:
Yes. Sorry. I just couldn't remember, but there was a -- normally the appropriations for LEDC just roll over, any unspent money just keeps rolling
over, but they did, midyear, have a budget adjustment, so they reduced the appropriations. So we had to show it on our books as -- because we are accrual based as opposed to as an expenditure based.

MS. THAM:
And help me out with this, the appropriations we get from the State budget, I know part of it's called Vendor's Compensation, but it's really not a percentage of Vendor's Compensation; right, but was it an adjustment to that allocation?

## MR. COOPER:

No. The Vendor's Comp all came over. It was more of I think it was some of the other maybe Capital Outlay funding, that sort of thing. The Vendor's Comp all gets recorded in -- their share of Vendor's Comp gets recorded in LEDC.

MS. THAM:
And who makes the decision that the original amount paid to us was too much or that some needed to come back? It's just it wasn't used or... MR. COOPER:

It's just a legislative budgetary
action. It really --
MS. VILLA:
The Vendor's Comp language was changed
several years ago, and now -- which is better for LED and LEDC, is that it's a percentage of the retail sales. So we're no longer a percentage of Vendor's Comp. It's just the language that has been used, but it's now a fixed percentage of actual sales tax collections.

MS. THAM:
And when you do your budget, it looks like the Vendor's Comp appropriation for this year is projected to be very close to what it was in 6/30/17. MS. VILLA:

Right.
MS. THAM:
Is that at the end reconciled or is
there --

## MS. VILLA:

It's all based on Revenue Estimating Committee. The Revenue Estimating Committee meets several times throughout the year, and so based upon the needs of financing is where the revenues are coming and where your adjusted means of finance end up being.

## MS. THAM:

So there won't be a point some time later at the end of the year or the beginning of next year where they look at it and say, "Oh, our estimate was off" and we change the appropriation?

MS. VILLA:
No. I mean, I think what happens is we get to the end of the day -- and Errol is probably better from the history standpoint. You get to the end of the year and where did your collections end up coming and we don't really know until -- and that's why we have that extended closing period -- until the end of August to really know where the collections ended up being.

MS. THAM:
So what you've projected is the best estimate if we're looking at the 6/30/18 projected budget?

MS. VILLA:
Right. We didn't have any -- we haven't had any FY '18 projections -- I mean reductions, I should say, in our budget, but I believe for FY '17, we did have that midyear budget reduction. So I think that's what everyone's referring to.

MS . THAM:
All right. Mr. Reine, does that answer your question?

MR. REINE:
I wasn't paying attention. What was my question?

MS. VILLA:

About the million dollar transfer.
MR. REINE:
Where did it go to?
MS. THAM:
It went back to LEDC based on --
Economic Development based on the recalculation of what our appropriations should be for Capital Outlay.

MS. VILLA:
Well, was it for Capital Outlay or was it for the midyear budget reductions?

MR. COOPER:
Midyear budget reduction.
MR. REINE:
So it was to offset a budget cut?
MS. VILLA:
Yes.
MR. REINE:
I just was curious if there was a million dollars that we agreed to annually for the precertification of property.

MS. VILLA:
Oh, no, no.
MR. REINE:
Okay. I'm good. Thank you.
MS. THAM:

Anybody else have any questions for
Mr. Cooper?
(No response.)
MS. THAM:
We appreciate you coming in and explaining all of this to us. It looks like you did a great job and we appreciate it.

MR. COOPER :
Appreciate it. I'd like to thank Anne and all of the management and all of the accounting staff. They were a great help, and that makes it much easier to do the audit. I appreciate it.

MS. THAM:
Looks like they did a great job. We appreciate them, too. Thank you. MR. COOPER:

All right. Thanks.
MS. THAM:
Any questions? Any comments?
(No response.)
MS . THAM:
Is there a motion to recommend to the full Board that we accept the financial statements and supplemental information presented?

MR. ANDRE :

So moved.
MR. SIMPSON:
Second.
MS. THAM:
So we have a first and second.
Any discussion?
(No response.)
MS . THAM:
Hearing none, all in favor, "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MS. THAM:
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MS. THAM:
Any comments from the public?
(No response.)
MS . THAM:
Hearing none, that resolution to recommend the financial statements as they stand to the full Board is passed.

Any other business that needs to be brought before the committee?
(No response.)
MS. THAM:
None?

Okay. Did we have a motion to adjourn the Finance Committee then? Any motion to adjourn the committee meeting?

Thank you.
MR. SIMPSON:
Second.
MS. THAM:
Meeting adjourned.
(Meeting adjourns at 9:58 a.m.)
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